

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

## DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Manure Matters (newsletter)

Biological Systems Engineering

---

2003

### Manure Matters, Volume 9, Number 2

Richard K. Koelsch

*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*, [rkoelsch1@unl.edu](mailto:rkoelsch1@unl.edu)

Wendy Powers

*Iowa State University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/manurematters>



Part of the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

---

Koelsch, Richard K. and Powers, Wendy, "Manure Matters, Volume 9, Number 2" (2003). *Manure Matters (newsletter)*. 36.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/manurematters/36>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Systems Engineering at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Manure Matters (newsletter) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

UNL's Livestock  
Environmental  
Issues Committee  
Includes  
representation from  
UNL, Nebraska  
Department of  
Environmental  
Quality, Natural  
Resources  
Conservation Service,  
Natural Resources  
Districts, Center for  
Rural Affairs,  
Nebraska Cattlemen,  
USDA Ag Research  
Services, and  
Nebraska Pork  
Producers  
Association.

**Contact:**  
Chris Henry  
217 LW Chase Hall  
University of NE  
Lincoln, NE 68583  
(402) 472-6529  
chenry@unl.edu

# Manure Matters

Volume 9, Number 2

## Integrating Animal Feeding Decisions into CNMP Processes: Part 2

Rick Koelsch, University of Nebraska and Wendy Powers, Iowa  
State University

*This is part 2 of a two part series, part one  
was discussed in Volume 9, Number 1.*

### Changing Current Standards

To improve the current procedures for estimating nutrient excretion, a joint ASAE/FASS 1 committee of agricultural engineers and animal scientists have been assembled to review and rewrite the current ASAE Standard on Manure Production and Characteristics. Several NRCS representatives are actively involved in these discussions with the potential for revising NRCS standard estimates based upon ASAE changes. This committee is currently addressing the following three topics:

1. As Excreted–Feed Intake Summary: Characteristics of excreted manure will be defined based upon a mass balance approach illustrated in Figure 1. Predictive equations for excretion estimates will be developed.

2. As Excreted–Average Summary: Existing average ASAE standard values of excreted manure will be redefined based upon estimates from Topic #1 for current average feed programs.

3. As Removed–Average Summary: An estimate of average as-removed manure characteristics for common animal housing and manure storage systems would be assembled, based in part on the MWPS-18, Manure Characteristics handbook.

### Summary

Opportunities exist to improve our estimates of nutrient excretion using an animal nutrient balance model. The attached tool (see Table 1) provides one method of estimating nutrient excretion based upon current feeding practices and evaluating the impact of changes in the feed program on the overall nutrient management program. Such procedures will also be of value to producers adopting animal feeding programs designed to reduce nutrient excretion and achieve compliance with public policy goals for nutrient management planning. NRCS and ASAE standards for nutrient excretion will need to reflect these alternative procedures.



**Figure 1. Animal nutrient balance model for estimating nutrient excretion.**

## References

ASAE. 1999. Manure production and characteristics. ASAE Standard ASAE D384.1. In *ASAE Standards 1999*. ASAE: St. Joseph, MI, 663-665.

Erickson, G., T. Klopfenstein, D. Walters, and G. Lesoing. 1998. Nutrient balance of nitrogen, organic matter, phosphorus and sulfur in the feedlot. In *1998 Beef Cattle Report*. Agricultural Research Division, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 86-87.

Morse, D., R.A. Nordstedt, H.H. Head, and H.H. VanHorn. 1994. Production and characteristics of manure from lactating dairy cows in Florida. *Transactions of the ASAE*. 37(1): 275-279.

Lorimor, J., W. Powers, and A. Sutton. 2000. Manure Characteristics. MWPS-18 Manure Management Series. Iowa State University, Ames.

NRC. 1989. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. Sixth Revised Edition.

Powers, W.J. and H.H. Van Horn. 2001. Nutritional implications for manure nutrient management planning. *Appl. Engng. Agric.* 17(1): 27-39.

SCS. 1992 Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook. Part 651. Published by US Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.  
Van Horn, H.H. 1991. Achieving environmental balance of nutrient flow through animal production systems. *The Professional Animal Scientist*. 7(3): 22-33.

**Table 1. Nutrient balance estimate of manure nutrient excretion.**

**I. Feed Nutrient Intake**

a. Animal Group	b. Group Daily Feed Intake (lbs/day)	Feed Nutrient Concentration (%)			Total Nutrient in Feed (lbs/day)	
		c. Crude Protein	d. N <sup>1</sup> (c ÷ 6.25)	e. P	f. Daily N Fed (b x d ÷ 100)	g. Daily P Fed (b x e ÷ 100)
Example: 1,000 beef finishers	27,000 lbs DM/day	13.5%	13.5 ÷ 6.25 = 2.16%	0.35%	27,000 x 2.16 ÷ 100 = 583 lbs/day	27,000 x 0.35 ÷ 100 = 94.5 lbs/day

**II. Nutrients Retained by Animal**

a. Animal Group	h. Maximum One-time Capacity (# of animals)	i. Average Daily Gain/Animal (lbs/day)	Live Weight Nutrient Concentration		Daily Nutrients Retained by Animal (lbs/day)	
			j. N	k. P	l. N (h x i x j)	m. P (h x i x k)
Example: Beef	1,000	4.1 lbs/day	0.026	0.0070	1,000 x 4.1 x 0.026 = 107 lbs/day	1,000 x 4.1 x 0.0070 = 28.7 lbs/day
Beef			0.026	0.0070		
Dairy			0.027	0.0075		
Pork			0.024	0.0050		
Hens			0.028	0.0058		
Broilers			0.028	0.0058		
Turkeys			0.028	0.0059		

**III. Nutrients Retained by Animal Products**

a. Animal Product	n. Daily Production (lbs/day)	Nutrient Concentration of Animal Products		Nutrients Retained by Animal Products (lbs/day)	
		o. N (lbN/lb prod.)	p. P (lb P/lb prod.)	q. N (n x o)	r. P (n x p)
Milk <sup>1</sup>		0.0050	0.0010		
Eggs <sup>1</sup>		0.0166	0.0021		

**IV. Nutrient Excretion by Animals**

a. Animal Group/Product	s. Days Fed Per Year (days/yr)	Annual Nutrient Excretion		
		t. N [s x (f - l)] or [s x (f - q)] (lbs/yr)	u. P [s x (g - m)] or [s x (g - r)] (lbs/yr)	v. P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> <sup>2</sup> (u x 2.3) (lbs/yr)
Example: Beef finisher	350 days/yr	350 x (583 - 107) = 167,000 lbs/yr	350 x (94.5 - 28.7) = 23,000 lbs/yr	23,000 x 2.3 = 53,000 lbs P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /yr
Total	-----	w.	-----	x.

1. N in feed = Protein ÷ 6.25, N in milk = Protein ÷ 6.38. Nitrogen content of 0.0050 assumes 3.2% protein in milk, N in eggs = Protein ÷ 6.25. Nitrogen content of 0.0166 assumes 10.4% protein in eggs. Lbs P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> = lbs x 2.3

Part 1 and Part 2 of this newsletter was published in the October 2002 version of the LPES (Livestock and Poultry Environmental Stewardship) curriculum project. For more information contact Diane Huntrods ([huntrods@iastate.edu](mailto:huntrods@iastate.edu)) or visit the website ([www.lpes.org](http://www.lpes.org)).

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperative with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Elbert Dickey, Director of Cooperative Extension, University of Nebraska, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources. University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension educational programs abide with the non-discrimination policies of the University of Nebraska Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.